

Admittance model for (superconducting) magnets for Power Converters control

Dr. Michele Martino September 26th 2018

POCPA 2018 - Session 5



M. Martino

6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th 2018

Concept of Inductance: amplitude domain

Several definitions exist for the inductance (of a magnet) [1]
 with respect to the current

$$L_a = \frac{\phi}{i}$$
: apparent or secant inductance

 $L_d = \frac{d\phi}{di} = \frac{v - Ri}{di/dt}$: differential or incremental inductance

 $L_E = \frac{2 W}{i^2}$: energy-equivalent inductance W being the energy stored in the magnet

 $L_d = L_a + i \frac{dL_a}{di}$ Differential inductance as a function of current and apparent inductance

only in ideal case inductance is a constant and the three definitions coincide



Concept of Inductance: frequency domain

- □ Inductance will be now assumed constant with respect to current
- □ Only "small variations" in frequency domain will be considered
- How current perturbations (that can be somehow controlled) affect field variations (in turn affecting the beam) ?
- \Box Let us consider the relative variations of ϕ , v and i :

 $\frac{\Delta \phi}{\phi} = \frac{\phi(f)}{\phi_{nom}}$: relative variation of "magnet" magnetic flux

 $\frac{\Delta i}{i} = \frac{i(f)}{i_{nom}}$: relative variation of converter current

 $\frac{\Delta v}{v} = \frac{v(f)}{v_{nom}}$: relative variation of converter voltage

Concept of Inductance: frequency domain

Let us consider now different sensitivity functions:

 $\frac{\delta \phi}{\delta i} = \frac{\frac{\Delta \phi}{\phi}}{\frac{\Delta i}{i}}$: Sensitivity of (relative) flux variation to (relative) current variation

 $\frac{\delta i}{\delta v} = \frac{\Delta i}{v} / \frac{\Delta v}{v}$: Sensitivity of (relative) current variation to (relative) voltage variation

 $\frac{\delta\phi}{\delta\nu} = \frac{\frac{\Delta\phi}{\phi}}{\frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu}}$: Sensitivity of (relative) flux variation to (relative) voltage variation

□ Or analogously in terms of ppm:

 $\frac{\delta\phi}{\delta i}$: ppm of flux variation per ppm of current variation $\frac{\delta i}{\delta v}$: ppm of current variation per ppm of voltage variation $\frac{\delta\phi}{\delta v}$: ppm of flux variation per ppm of voltage variation



Concept of Inductance: frequency domain

Let us assume now the following identity:

$$\phi(f) = L(f)i(f)$$

it is not exactly what physically happens, but rather what is "observed" at the circuit terminals

$$\phi_{nom} = L(0)I_{nom} = L_{DC}I_{nom}$$

□ In terms of flux then:

$$\frac{\Delta\phi}{\phi} = \frac{L(f)i(f)}{L_{DC}I_{nom}} = \frac{L(f)}{L_{DC}}\frac{i(f)}{I_{nom}} = \frac{L(f)}{L_{DC}}\frac{\Delta i}{i}$$

 $\frac{\delta \phi}{\delta i} = \frac{\Delta \phi}{\delta i} / \frac{\Delta i}{L_{DC}} = \frac{L(f)}{L_{DC}}$ The sensitivity of flux with respect to current depends on how inductance changes with frequency



Inductance within a control loop

□ It is always assumed that the greater the inductance the better in terms of noise on the current and hence on the B field

□ But is it really so?

□ let us consider the open loop case first:

$$v_{ol}(f) = [R + j2\pi f L(f)]i_{ol}(f)$$

$$\left(\frac{\delta i}{\delta v}\right)_{ol} = \frac{1}{1 + j2\pi f \frac{L(f)}{R}} = \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta i}{i}\right)_{ol}}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta v}{v}\right)_{ol}}} = \frac{i_{ol}(f)}{I_{nom}} \frac{RI_{nom}}{[R + j2\pi f L(f)]i_{ol}(f)} \right\}$$

$$\left(\frac{\delta \phi}{\delta v}\right)_{ol} = \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta i} \left(\frac{\delta i}{\delta v}\right)_{ol} = \frac{L(f)}{I_{DC}} \frac{1}{1 + j2\pi f \frac{L(f)}{R}}$$

□ the greater L(f) the greater the "equivalent" time constant, so the greater *L* the better in terms of impact of voltage ripple



Inductance in closed loop

□ What happens in closed loop?

□ It depends strongly on the controller!

However some general considerations can be highlighted by means of an ideal "PI like" controller





M. Martino

Inductance in closed loop

Now sensitivity of current to voltage disturbances is a band-pass:
 with mid-frequency gain $\frac{\tau_{cl}}{\tau_M} = \frac{BW_{ol}}{BW_{cl}}$ normalized by the circuit resistance (ppm of voltage to ppm of current)

$$\left(\frac{\delta i}{\delta v}\right)_{cl} = \frac{1}{2\pi B W_{cl}} \frac{j2\pi f}{(1+j2\pi f\tau_M)(1+j2\pi f\tau_{cl})}$$

□ Analogously for the voltage disturbances to flux:

$$\left(\frac{\delta\phi}{\delta\nu}\right)_{cl} = \frac{\delta\phi}{\delta i} \left(\frac{\delta i}{\delta\nu}\right)_{cl} = \frac{L(f)}{L_{DC}} \frac{j2\pi f\tau_{cl}}{(1+j2\pi f\tau_M)(1+j2\pi f\tau_{cl})}$$



In low and mid frequency ranges the "original" magnet inductance is irrelevant, only the control-loop parameters matter.

For $f > BW_{cl}$ however the attenuation is given by the "original" magnet inductance, so it would seem that the higher the inductance the better!

But in high frequency the "inductance" might drop with frequency!



How inductance variation with frequency is observed

Assuming ideal RL-series model for the magnet's impedance:

 $Z(f) = R + j2\pi fL$

 \Box *L* can be estimated from measured *Z* as: $\hat{L} = \frac{imag(Z)}{2\pi f} = \frac{Z-R}{j2\pi f}$

 $\Box Z - R$ is never purely imaginary for real inductors (*)

- □ it is not correct to consider a "frequency varying inductance", but: □ $|\hat{L}|$ decreases with frequency
- □ it is therefore reasonable to deduce $\frac{L(f)}{L_{DC}} \le 1$, hence: $\frac{\delta \phi}{\delta i} \le 1$

□ the ppm of flux are always less than the ppm of current !

(*) Kramers-Kronig relationships between real and imaginary parts due to causality



So what is really going on?

□ The PC powers not only the magnet but also losses:

- well known eddy currents
- □ they affect differently resistive and superconducting magnets
- □ focus here will be only on superconducting magnets
 - magnets themselves have losses
 - □ cold beam screens play a dominant role
- □ only brief references for normal conducting (lack of time...)



A SC example: LHC Magnet at 1.9 K



No DC bias

Small signal excitation



Ideal *n*-pole with inner conductive shell (infinitely thin)

$$A_{Z}(r,\theta,f) = \mu_{0} \frac{b}{2n} \left(\frac{r}{b}\right)^{n} J_{Z}(b,\theta,f)$$
$$J_{Z}(b,\theta,f) = \frac{N_{c}I_{0}}{4b} \cos(n\theta) \sin(2\pi ft)$$

Induced currents appear in the shell: $J'_{z}(a, \theta, f) = \sigma_{s}E_{z} = -j2\pi f \sigma_{s}[A_{z}(a, \theta, f) + A'_{z}(a, \theta, f)]$

14

Contributing to the total vector potential as:

$$A_{z}^{tot}(r,\theta,f) = A_{z}(r,\theta,f) + A_{z}'(r,\theta,f) = \frac{A_{z}(r,\theta,f)}{1+j2\pi f\tau_{n}}$$
$$\tau_{n} = \sigma_{s}\mu_{0}\frac{a}{2n} \qquad f_{n} = \frac{1}{2\pi\tau_{n}} = \frac{2n}{\mu_{0}2\pi a \sigma_{s}}$$

Cut-off frequency increases with the order of the magnet: so for a given beam screen the shielding for a dipole is twice more effective that for a quadrupole and so on...

Notation and calculations taken directly from [2] - rewritten to highlight where the pole comes from



b

а

Ideal *n*-pole with inner conductive shell (infinitely thin)



Notation and calculations taken directly from [2] - rewritten to highlight the "equivalent inductance"

CERN

M. Martino

6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th 2018

Ideal *n*-pole with thin inner conductive shell

$$A_{z}(r,\theta,f) = \mu_{0} \frac{b}{2n} \left(\frac{r}{b}\right)^{n} J_{z}(b,\theta,f)$$

$$J_{z}(b,\theta,f) = \frac{N_{c}I_{0}}{4b} \cos(n\theta) \sin(2\pi ft)$$

$$J_{z}(b,\theta,f) = \frac{N_{c}I_{0}}{4b} \cos(n\theta) \sin(2\pi ft)$$

$$J_{z}(a,\theta,f) = \sigma_{s}E_{z} = -j2\pi f\sigma_{s}[A_{z}(a,\theta,f) + A'_{z}(a,\theta,f)]$$

$$A_{z}^{tot}(r,\theta,f) = A_{z}(r,\theta,f) + A'_{z}(r,\theta,f) \cong \frac{A_{z}(r,\theta,f)}{1+j2\pi f\tau_{n}}$$

$$r_{n} = \sigma_{s}\mu_{0}\frac{a}{2n} \quad f_{n} = \frac{1}{2\pi\tau_{n}} = \frac{2n}{\mu_{0}2\pi a\sigma_{s}} \quad \sigma_{s} = \sigma da \quad f_{n} = \frac{2n}{\mu_{0}\frac{2\pi ada}{A}\sigma} = \frac{2n}{\mu_{0}A\sigma}$$

At first approximation the cut-off is directly proportional to *n* and inversely proportional to the product $A\sigma$ of the cross-section area of the beam screen and its conductivity. For thick and/or non-cylindrical BS the magnetic TF H(s) is not a simple pole!



M. Martino

Full admittance model with an arbitrary beam screen



It is also possible to estimate the "trans-admittance" between the useful current (which is actually producing the B field seen by the beam) and the PC voltage!

$$\frac{i_{B_{int}}}{v} = \frac{i_{B_{int}}}{v_{int}} \frac{v_{int}}{v_{magnet}} \frac{v_{magnet}}{v}$$

$$\frac{i_{B_{int}}}{v} = \frac{1}{s \ k \ L} \frac{s \ k \ L \ H(s)}{s \ L \ [(1-k) + k \ H(s)]} \frac{s \ L \ [(1-k) + k \ H(s)]}{R + s \ L \ [(1-k) + k \ H(s)]} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{H(s)}{1 + s \ \tau \ [(1-k) + k \ H(s)]}$$

CERN

M. Martino

6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th 2018



M. Martino

6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th 2018

SC magnets also have losses!



M. Martino 6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th 2018

L_{eq} of MQXF magnet (short model) - Nb₃Sn



CERN

M. Martino

6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th 2018

L_{eq} of MQXF magnet (short model) - Nb₃Sn



CERN

M. Martino

6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th 2018

Cold Beam Screens still play a dominant role!



M. Martino

Summary

- Concept of Inductance
 - impact of PC current and voltage on the (magnetic field seen by the) beam
- Observed frequency dependence of inductance
 the role of the inductance within a control loop

Losses

in superconducting magnets themselves
 Ioss impedance can be modeled as fractional-order s^α
 the dominant role of cold beam screen in SC magnets
 fractional-order model suitable also for NC magnets



References

[1] M. Buzio et al. : Measurement of the inductance of resistive magnets: two case studies – CERN-ATS-Note-2011-047 TECH

[2] Robert E. Shafer: Eddy Currents, Dispersion Relations, and Transient Effects in Superconducting Magnets, TM-991, Fermilab 1980

[3] Joseph J. Feeley : A simple Dynamic Model for Eddy Currents in a Magnetic Actuator – IEEE Transactions on Magnetics 1996

[4] M. Morrone, M. Martino et al : Magnetic Frequency Response of High-Luminosity Large Hadron Collider Beam Screens, submitted to PRAB, 2017

[4] Gonzales and Brambilla : Frequency dependence of the resistance and inductance of solid core magnets – IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science 1965





www.cern.ch

Additional slides



M. Martino6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th201826

Beam Screen: Mathematical Derivation



Ideal *n*-pole with inner conductive shell (infinitely thin)



$$\theta, f) = A_Z(r, \theta, f) + A'_Z(r, \theta, f) = \frac{A_Z(r, \theta, f)}{1 + j2\pi f \sigma_S \mu_0 \frac{a}{2n}} = \frac{A_Z(r, \theta, f)}{1 + j2\pi f \tau_r}$$
$$\tau_n = \sigma_S \mu_0 \frac{a}{2n} \quad f_n = \frac{1}{2\pi \tau_n} = \frac{2n}{\mu_0 2\pi a \sigma_S}$$

Cut-off frequency increases with the order of the magnet: so for a given beam screen the shielding for a dipole is twice more effective that for a quadrupole and so on...

Notation and calculations taken directly from [XX] - rewritten to highlight where the pole comes from



M. Martino

Beam Screen Equivalent Circuit



M. Martino6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th201829

Full admittance model with an arbitrary beam screen



It is also possible to estimate the "trans-admittance" between the useful current (which is actually producing the B field seen by the beam) and the PC voltage!

$$\frac{i_{B_{int}}}{v} = \frac{i_{B_{int}}}{v_{int}} \frac{v_{int}}{v_{magnet}} \frac{v_{magnet}}{v} \quad \frac{i_{B_{int}}}{v_{int}} = \frac{1}{s \ k \ L} \quad \frac{v_{int}}{v_{magnet}} = \frac{s \ k \ L \ H(s)}{s \ L \ [(1-k)+k \ H(s)]} \frac{v_{magnet}}{v} = \frac{s \ L \ [(1-k)+k \ H(s)]}{R + s \ L \ [(1-k)+k \ H(s)]} = \frac{1}{R \ 1 + s \ \tau \ [(1-k)+k \ H(s)]}$$

CERN

M. Martino

6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th 2018

Full admittance model with an arbitrary beam screen $k = (r_i / r_e)^{2n}$ B_{ext} (1-k) L $k L H(s)/1-H(s) \stackrel{\scriptstyle >}{=} 1 \stackrel{\scriptstyle >}{=} k L$ $H(s) = B_{int} / B_{ext}$ $Z_{//}(s) = skL \frac{H(s)}{1 - H(s)}$ it is actually an impedance as $real\{Z_{//}(s)\} \ge 0$ for $real\{s\} \ge 0$ $skL / Z_{//}(s) = skLH(s)$ $\frac{v_{int}}{v_{magnet}} = \frac{s \ k \ L \ H(s)}{s \ L \ [(1-k) + k \ H(s)]} = \frac{k \ H(s)}{[(1-k) + k \ H(s)]} \text{ if } H(s) = 1 \text{ there is only a geometrical factor }!$

At the end everything goes as if the inductance were L[(1-k) + k H(s)]: in low frequency $H(s) \cong 1$ so the PC sees the whole inductance Lin high frequency $H(s) \to 0$ so the PC sees only the fraction L(1-k)

Normal conducting magnets



Some results for Normal Conducting Magnets



Gonzales and Brambilla: Resistance and Inductance of Solid Core Magnets – IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science – 1965



M. Martino 6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th 2018

Some results for Normal Conducting Magnets



With vacuum chamber

No DC bias

Very small excitation current

The actual Integrated Gradient was measured as a function of the frequency





6th POCPA Workshop - September 26th 2018